

Multivariate analysis of patients with blunt trauma and possible factors affecting mortality

Adnan Özpek, M.D.,¹ Metin Yücel, M.D.,¹ İbrahim Atak, M.D.,¹
Gürhan Baş, M.D.,¹ Orhan Alimoğlu, M.D.²

¹Department of General Surgery, Umraniye Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul

²Department of General Surgery, Medeniyet University Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: This study aimed to investigate the signs and prognosis of the patients hospitalized due to blunt trauma injuries and identify possible factors that affect mortality.

METHODS: Between January 2009 and January 2013, a total of 237 patients admitted with blunt trauma injury were retrospectively analyzed. The age and gender of the patients, type of the trauma, injury site, Injury Severity Scores (ISS), Revised Trauma Scores (RTS), Focused Assessment with Sonography in Trauma (FAST) results, hemodynamic status, need for transfusion, treatment modalities, treatment outcomes, and mortality rates were recorded.

RESULTS: Of the patients, 187 (78.9%) were male, 50 (21.1%) were female and mean age was 36.9 ± 16.9 years (3–81 years). Of the patients, 131 (55.3%) suffered thoracic injuries, 110 (46.6%) abdominal injuries, 96 (40.5%) pelvic and limb injuries, 34 (14.3%) head and neck injuries, 26 (11%) maxillofacial injuries, and 24 (10.1%) skin and subcutaneous tissue injuries. Forty-five patients (19%), including 33 patients with hemodynamic instability and 12 patients with peritonitis-related signs, were operated on. Mortality was seen in 26 patients (11%), including 10 (38.5%) with unstable pelvic fractures. Mortality rates; in patients with packing performed was 75%, in patients without any need for packing was 33.3%, in patients with hemodynamic instability was 60.6%, in hemodynamically stable patients was 8.3% and in FAST (+) patients was 20.5%, in FAST(–) patients was 3.4% ($p < 0.05$).

CONCLUSION: Blunt trauma often presents with multi-trauma involving more than one anatomical structure of the body. Thoracic, abdominal, and pelvic injuries usually accompany blunt trauma. The majority of abdominal solid organ injuries are followed non-operatively. Our study results show that ISS, RTS, FAST result, hemodynamic instability, packing requirement, and need for transfusion are statistically invaluable in identifying the mortality risk.

Key words: Blunt trauma; Injury Severity Score; mortality; multi-trauma; Revised Trauma Score; transfusion.

INTRODUCTION

Trauma-related mortality is one of the leading causes of global death, accounting for 9% of all deaths in all age groups. It is also the major cause of death in individuals aged 5 to 49

years.^[1] Most trauma-related injuries are blunt injuries.^[1] Such injuries can be caused primarily by traffic accidents, falls from height, or assaults.^[1] Blunt trauma injuries, which often present with multi-trauma involving more than one anatomical structure of the body, are the main causes of emergency admissions.^[2,3] Therefore, management and follow-up of these injuries require a multidisciplinary approach. The mortality and morbidity rate of blunt trauma injuries are higher than penetrating trauma injuries.^[4] Based on the trimodal distribution of trauma deaths proposed by Trunkey in 1983, 50% of deaths occur immediately or within seconds of injury, 30% occur within a few hours, and 20% occur within a few weeks.^[5] As a result, pre-hospital services that prevent trauma injuries are of utmost importance. In addition, emergency physicians and the equipment of the facility play a critical role in reducing the mortality rate that occurs within a few hours. The mortality rate can be reduced in fully equipped emergency trauma centers where a multidisciplinary team including phy-

Presented at the 9th Congress of National Trauma and Emergency Surgery held on April 19–23, 2013 in Antalya and was awarded with a third place ranking.

Address for correspondence: Adnan Özpek, M.D.

Ümraniye Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Genel Cerrahi Kliniği,

Ümraniye, İstanbul, Turkey

Tel: +90 216 - 632 18 18 E-mail: adnanozpek@mynet.com

Qucik Response Code



Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg

2015;21(6):477–483

doi: 10.5505/tjtes.2015.43077

Copyright 2015

TJTES

sicians and other healthcare staff trained on the management of trauma injuries is available.^[6,7] In Turkey, general surgeons are still mostly faced with patients with multi-trauma injuries in State Hospitals, University Hospitals, and Training and Research Hospitals, followed by the request for consultation. These patients may be referred to the related department for additional therapies when their clinical status is stable.

The current study aimed to investigate the signs and prognosis of the patients hospitalized due to blunt trauma injuries and identify possible factors that affect mortality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective study included a prospective database of a total of 237 patients who were admitted to the Emergency Department with blunt trauma injury and hospitalized in the General Surgery Inpatient Unit between January 2009 and January 2013. All patients were resuscitated on admission in accordance with the Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) protocol. According to this protocol, the patients were monitored in the Emergency Room (ER) as yellow or red area and were resuscitated with ABCDEF approach. Patients were accepted as hemodynamically unstable with an arterial systolic blood pressure of less than 90 mmHg, after they were resuscitated with crystalloids and blood products, via at least 2 intravenous lines with 2000cc (for children 20 cc/kg) in 15 minutes. Similarly, if systolic blood pressure improved after resuscitation, but could not be stabilised, then, they were accepted as unsustainable hemodynamic stability. The patients with hemodynamic instability or unsustainable stability based on the ATLS criteria underwent Focused Assessment with Sonography in Trauma (FAST). In patients with free abdominal fluid in FAST, emergency laparotomy was performed. Hemodynamically stable patients were admitted to the clinic after FAST, and computerized tomography (CT) and/or other imaging modalities and consultations were carried out. Some of these patients were operated on after developing peritonitis findings or hemodynamic instability.

When a thoracotomy was indicated, a thoracic surgeon was consulted for the operation. Most of the tube thoracostomies were performed by general surgeons, some by thoracic surgeons. In unstable pelvic fractures, external fixations were done by orthopedic surgeons, and pelvic packing, if required, was performed by general surgeons. All management and follow-up of the patients were conducted by general surgeons in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) and/or general surgery inpatient unit.

Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) was used to identify the injury site and grade injuries. Injury Severity Scores (ISS) and Revised Trauma Scores (RTS) were estimated. According to vital signs, the patients were followed in the ICU or general surgery inpatient unit. The age and gender of the patients, type of the trauma, injury site, ISS (0–75) and RTS (0–7,84)

levels, FAST results, hemodynamic status, need for transfusion, treatment modalities, treatment outcomes, and mortality rates were analyzed.

Statistical analysis was performed using the Number Cruncher Statistical System (NCSS; NCSS LLC, Utah, USA) 2007 update and Power Analysis and Sample Sizes (PASS; NCSS LLC, Utah, USA) software. Chi-square and Fisher's exact test (NCSS 2007 Kaysville, Utah, USA) were performed to analyze categorical variables. p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Of the two hundred and thirty-seven patients, 187 (78.9%) were male and 50 (21.1%) were female. Mean age was 36.9 ± 16.9 years (range, 3–81 years). The main cause of blunt-trauma injury was in-vehicle traffic accident in sixty-six patients (27.8%), fall from a height in sixty-four patients (27%), traffic accident involving a pedestrian in fifty-five patients (23.2%), motorbike accident in twenty-six patients (11%), crush injury in thirteen patients (5.5%), and assault in thirteen patients (5.5%).

According to AIS, the frequency of the injury sites were; thoracic, abdominal, pelvic and extremity, head and neck, maxil-

Table 1. Distribution of injury sites based on AIS

Injury site	n	%
Thoracic	131	55.3
Abdominal	110	46.4
Pelvic and limb	96	40.5
Head and neck	34	14.3
Maxillofacial	26	11.0
External	24	10.1

AIS: Abbreviated injury scale.

Table 2. Distribution of trunk injuries

Injury site	n	%
Isolated		
Thoracic	55	23.2
Abdominal	42	17.7
Pelvic	15	6.3
Multiple		
Thoracoabdominal	48	20.3
Thoracoabdominopelvic	16	6.8
Thoracopelvic	12	5.1
Abdominopelvic	4	1.7

lofacial and external body injuries, respectively. A total of 421 injury sites (mean 1.8 per patient) were identified (Table 1).

When the patients were considered according to trunk injuries, thoracic, thoracoabdominal and abdominal injuries were found to be the most common. Thoracoabdominopelvic, pelvic and abdominopelvic injuries followed them (Table 2).

FAST was performed in one hundred and two of the patients, of whom seventy-three were FAST (+) and nineteen were FAST (-). When they were compared according to their FAST results, there was no significance between their mean RTS and ISS values. However, the need for transfusion and mortality rates were significantly higher in the FAST (+) group (Table 3).

FAST (+) and hemodynamically instable twenty-five patients underwent laparotomy. In hemodynamically stable patients, FAST, CT and/or other imaging modalities were performed.

All patients were evaluated with a multidisciplinary approach. Eight of these were operated on due to unsustainable hemodynamic stability in their follow up. A total of forty-five patients (19%) including 33 patients with hemodynamic instability and 12 patients with peritonitis-related signs were operated on. In patients operated due to hemodynamic instability, mean ISS, need for transfusion and mortality rate were significantly higher, mean RTS level was significantly lower (Table 4).

Splenectomy was performed in sixteen patients, and nephrectomy in three. Furthermore, treatments for intestinal injury in eight patients, pancreatic injury in three, and diaphragmatic injury in two were carried out. Negative laparotomy results were established in two patients with peritonitis-related signs. Two patients underwent thoracotomy due to major thoracic injury.

Hepatic packing was performed in seven patients with high-

Table 3. A statistical comparison of ISS, RTS, need for transfusion and mortality rates among FAST positive and FAST negative patients

Variables	FAST (+) (n=73)	FAST (-) (n=29)	Significance (p<0.05)
Injury Severity Scores (Mean±SD)	19.8±15.7	17.0±12.3	p=0.881
Revised Trauma Scores (Mean±SD)	6.99±1.95	7.55±1.06	p=0.160
Transfusion (Mean±SD)	2.4 U±3.2	1.2 U±3.8	p=0.004
Mortality, n (%)	15 (20.5)	1 (3.4)	p=0.036

FAST: Focused Assessment with Sonography in Trauma.

Table 4. A statistical comparison of ISS, RTS, need for transfusion and mortality rates among patients operated with hemodynamic instability and stability

Variables	Hemodynamic unstable (n=33)	Hemodynamic stable (n=12)	Significance (p<0.05)
Injury Severity Scores (Mean±SD)	38.6±13.4	16.6±9.8	p=0.001
Revised Trauma Scores (Mean±SD)	5.25±2.45	7.40±1.30	p=0.005
Transfusion (Mean±SD)	7.2 U±3.9	0.3 U±0.8	p=0.001
Mortality, n (%)	20 (60.6%)	1 (8.3%)	p=0.006

Table 5. A statistical comparison of ISS, RTS, need for transfusion and mortality rates among patients treated with packing versus no packing performed

Variables	Packing (n=12)	No packing (n=33)	Significance (p<0.05)
Injury Severity Scores (Mean±SD)	45.1±12.1	28.3±14.7	p=0.001
Revised Trauma Scores (Mean±SD)	4.35±2.41	6.35±2.18	p=0.012
Transfusion (Mean±SD)	8.4 U±3.1	4.3 U±4.6	p=0.003
Mortality (n, %)	9 (75%)	11 (33.3%)	p=0.032

Table 6. Distribution of abdominal injuries and rate of non-operative management

Organ injury	n	%	NOM rate (%)
Spleen	56	23.6	71.4
Liver	34	14.3	79.4
Kidney	25	10.5	88
Intestine	8	3.4	–
Pancreas	7	3.0	57
Great vessel	6	2.5	–
Diaphragm	2	0.8	–

NOM: Non-operative management.

grade liver injury. Five patients underwent pelvic packing with unstable pelvic fracture. When patients were compared according to need for packing; in the packing group, mean ISS, need for transfusion and mortality rate were significantly higher and mean RTS level was significantly lower (Table 5).

When the patients were classified according to abdominal organ injuries; splenic injuries were in the first place, followed by liver, kidney, intestine, pancreas, intraabdominal major vessels and the diaphragm, respectively. A total of 71.4% of the patients with spleen injuries, 79.4% with liver injuries, 88% with renal injuries, and 57% with pancreatic injuries were managed non-operatively. These patients were discharged without mortality (Table 6).

When the patients were classified according to thoracic injuries, rib fracture and pneumothorax were the most com-

Table 7. Distribution of thoracic injuries

Thoracic injury	n	%
Rib fracture	67	28.3
Pneumothorax	46	19.4
Pulmonary contusion	32	13.5
Hemothorax	23	9.7
Hemopneumothorax	22	9.3
Thoracic vertebral fracture	21	8.9
Clavicle fracture	17	7.2
Scapula fracture	7	3.0
Flail chest	3	1.3
Sternal fracture	1	0.4

mon, followed by pulmonary contusion, haemothorax, hemopneumothorax, thoracic vertebra fracture, clavicle fracture, scapula fracture, flail chest, and sternum fracture. Fifty-two patients (21.9%) underwent tube thoracostomy and two patients (0.8%) underwent thoracotomy (Table 7).

Twenty-three of 47 patients with pelvic injuries had unstable pelvic fractures and 10 of them (43.5%) died. Additionally, mortality was seen in twenty-six (11%) of all patients. Nine (34.9%) of these patients had an AIS score >4 along with head injury.

Mean ISS and RTS levels, and need for transfusion were 18.4, 7.30, and 1.6 U in all patients, respectively. These values were 33.6, 5.66, and 5.4 U in patients that were operated on, respectively; whereas, these values were 44.6, 4.22, and 7.8 U in exitus patients, respectively. Mean ISS and need for transfu-

Table 8. A statistical comparison of ISS, RTS, and need for transfusion among patients operated on and managed non-operatively

Variables	Total (237)	Operated patients (45)	Non-operated patients (192)	Significance (p<0.05)
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
Injury Severity Scores	18.4±13.8	33.6±15.8	14.9±10.5	p<0.001
Revised Trauma Scores	7.30±1.49	5.66±2.48	7.69±0.73	p<0.001
Transfusion	1.6 U.±3.2	5.4 U.±4.5	0.7 U.±2.0	p<0.001

Table 9. A statistical comparison of ISS, RTS, and need for transfusion among survivors and exitus patients

Variables	Survivors (211)	Exitus patients (26)	Significance (p<0.05)
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
Injury Severity Scores	15.2±10.0	44.6±11.6	p<0.001
Revised Trauma Scores	7.68±0.75	4.22±2.26	p<0.001
Transfusion (mean+SD)	0.8 U.±2.0	7.8 U.±4.4	p<0.001

sion were statistically significantly higher in patients that were operated on compared to those who were not operated on and exitus patients compared to survivors. Mean RTS was significantly lower in these patients. P value was found to be <0.001 in all three variables among the patients (Tables 8 and 9). However, there was no significant difference in age and gender among the patients ($p=0.60$ and 0.22 for age; $p=0.44$ and 0.42 for gender).

DISCUSSION

Blunt trauma injuries mainly affect young adult males. Several studies have reported that such injuries mostly occur at the age of 30 to 40 years with 60 to 80% in the male gender.^[2,3,8] In our study, median age was 36.9 and male gender was 78.9%. The main causes of blunt trauma injuries are road traffic accidents, followed by falls from height. Similarly, 62% of the patients in our study were exposed to traffic accidents, followed by 27% who fell from height.

Blunt trauma often presents with multi-trauma involving more than one anatomical structure of the body. In most of our patients, abdominal injuries were concomitant with thoracic and/or pelvic injuries. In our study, mean injury site was a 2 based on AIS classification. It is interesting that only 17.7% of the patients had an isolated abdominal injury. However, majority of the patients with abdominal trauma present with thoracic and/or pelvic injuries,^[6-8] indicating that the management of patients with blunt trauma injuries requires a multidisciplinary approach, including physicians trained in the management of trauma, as well as well-equipped trauma centers. The mortality rate has been reported to be lower in healthcare centers where trauma management is satisfactory.^[3,6,7]

FAST was first described by Rozycki and friends in 1996 and has an important role in the diagnoses of trauma patients in the ER.^[9,10] FAST, which is rapid, easy to perform, cheap, and repeatable, can be performed in hemodynamically stable and unstable patients.^[10] However, CT is recommended only for hemodynamically stable patients. FAST positivity in unstable patients is a precise indication of laparotomy.^[11] In different studies, sensitivity of FAST ranges between 80% and 100%.^[9,11,12] In our study, all of the hemodynamically unstable and FAST (+) twenty-five patients had several injuries in laparotomy; twenty-three (92%) had intraabdominal organ injuries and two (8%) had retroperitoneal and pelvic hematoma.

With respect to abdominal trauma, the most affected organs are the spleen, liver, kidney, intestines, and pancreas.^[13] The majority of solid organ injuries are followed non-operatively.^[13-15] In several studies, 70-80% non-operative management rates have been reported for intraabdominal solid organ injuries.^[14-16] Similarly, 71.4% of spleen injuries, 79.4% of liver injuries, and 88% of renal injuries were managed non-operatively in the present study.

Surgery is usually indicated in the presence of hemodynamic instability due to high-grade solid organ injuries and concomitant additional injuries.^[15] In our study, in patients operated with hemodynamic instability mortality rate, ISS level and need for transfusion were significantly higher. In particular, grade IV-V liver injury and intraabdominal great vessel injury increase the mortality rate. In different studies with high grade liver injuries and unstable pelvis fractures, 40-70% mortality rates have been reported after packing and angio-embolisation.^[7,16-18] In our study, a total of nine patients (75%) died including five out of 7 patients with hepatic packing and four out of 5 patients with pelvic packing.

Most hollow organ injuries can be diagnosed with clinical signs of peritonitis during follow-up and can be surgically treated.^[15] In patients with a suspicion of hollow viscus injury, CT and diagnostic laparoscopy can be used for diagnosis.^[19,20] In our study, we operated on five of eight patients with intestinal injury due to peritonitis-related signs, as revealed by repeated physical examination sessions. Diagnostic laparoscopy was performed to one of these patients. Three of the remaining patients were operated on due to haemodynamic instability caused by accompanied organ injury.

Blunt trauma-induced isolated or concomitant thoracic injury is common.^[21,22] Hemo/pneumothorax presenting with rib fractures is the most frequently seen injury.^[22] The majority of these patients are treated with tube thoracostomy.^[21,22] Unlike penetrating trauma injuries, fewer patients with blunt trauma injury require thoracotomy.^[22] In the present study, tube thoracostomy was essential and adequate in 21.9% of the patients, while 0.8% of the patients required thoracotomy. Patients with AIS >4 along with severe thoracic injuries had a higher mortality risk.

Unstable pelvic fracture is a type of injury that compromises hemodynamic stability and increases the mortality rate.^[23-25] Early and adequate blood product transfusion, pelvic stabilization techniques, early diagnosis and management of additional injuries, angio-embolization, avoidance of unnecessary laparotomy, and thorough monitoring in the ICU can decrease mortality.^[26,27] Extra-peritoneal pelvic packing or internal iliac artery ligation can be an alternative to angio-embolization in healthcare centers where the latter is not available.^[28] Furthermore, we performed pelvic packing in five patients in our study.

In addition, the presence of concomitant head injury increases the mortality to a large extent. However, first-line therapy should include an intervention to maintain hemodynamic stability in a patient with hemodynamic instability despite the presence of a head injury. After stabilizing the patient hemodynamically, further investigations, including cranial CT, can be performed.

Many studies have shown that ISS and RTS are major factors

affecting mortality.^[29–33] To the best of the knowledge of the researchers, ISS >40 and RTS <4.5 dramatically increase mortality risk.^[31–33] In the present study, mean ISS was statistically significantly higher in patients who were urgently operated on, compared to those who were not operated on, and exitus patients were compared to survivors ($p<0.001$). Likewise, mean RTS was significantly lower in these patients ($p<0.001$).

Moreover, various studies have demonstrated that an increased need for transfusion is a risk factor for mortality.^[34] In our study, mean need for transfusion was 7.8 U in exitus patients, indicating a significantly higher value compared to survivors ($p<0.001$).

However, there are some limitations to this study. Firstly, the study was designed as retrospective with a prospective database since prospective studies including patients with trauma injuries are unlikely to be conducted. Secondly, classification of the patients was problematic as the study included various types of injury. Therefore, further studies are required to confirm our findings.

In conclusion, blunt trauma often presents with multi-trauma involving more than one anatomical structure of the body. Thoracic, abdominal, and pelvic injuries are usually accompanied. The majority of abdominal solid organ injuries are managed non-operatively. Most thoracic injuries are treated with tube thoracostomy while thoracotomy is required in a very limited number of patients. In addition, unstable pelvic fracture is a type of injury requiring an ICU stay, which increases the mortality rate. ISS, RTS, positive FAST result, packing requirement, hemodynamic instability and need for transfusion are statistically invaluable in identifying the mortality risk.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization, Global Health Observatory Data Repository, Death Rates: WORLD By cause 2011.
- Ball SK, Croley GG 2nd. Blunt abdominal trauma. A review of 637 patients. *J Miss State Med Assoc* 1996;37:465–8.
- Di Saverio S, Gambale G, Coccolini F, Catena F, Giorgini E, Ansaloni L, et al. Changes in the outcomes of severe trauma patients from 15-year experience in a Western European trauma ICU of Emilia Romagna region (1996-2010). A population cross-sectional survey study. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2014;399:109–26.
- Yang J, Gao JM, Hu P, Li CH, Zhao SH, Lin X. Management of multiple trauma with mainly thoracic and abdominal injuries: a report of 1166 cases. *Chin J Traumatol* 2009;12:118–21.
- Trunkley DD. Trauma. Accidental and intentional injuries account for more years of life lost in the U.S. than cancer and heart disease. Among the prescribed remedies are improved preventive efforts, speedier surgery and further research. *Sci Am* 1983;249:28–35.
- Demetriades D, Martin M, Salim A, Rhee P, Brown C, Doucet J, et al. Relationship between American College of Surgeons trauma center designation and mortality in patients with severe trauma (injury severity score > 15). *J Am Coll Surg* 2006;202:212–5; quiz A45.
- Demetriades D, Martin M, Salim A, Rhee P, Brown C, Chan L. The effect of trauma center designation and trauma volume on outcome in specific severe injuries. *Ann Surg* 2005;242:512–9.
- Farrath S, Parreira JG, Perlingeiro JA, Solda SC, Assef JC. Predictors of abdominal injuries in blunt trauma. *Rev Col Bras Cir* 2012;39:295–301.
- Coşkun F, Akıncı E, Ceyhan MA, Sahin Kavaklı H. Our new stethoscope in the emergency department: handheld ultrasound. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg* 2011;17:488–92.
- Sgourakis G, Lanitis S, Korontzi M, Kontovounisios C, Zacharioudakis C, Armoutidis V, et al. Incidental findings in focused assessment with sonography for trauma in hemodynamically stable blunt trauma patients: speaking about cost to benefit. *J Trauma* 2011;71:123–7.
- Gaarder C, Kroepelien CF, Loekke R, Hestnes M, Dormage JB, Naess PA. Ultrasound performed by radiologists-confirming the truth about FAST in trauma. *J Trauma* 2009;67:323–9.
- Kumar S, Kumar A, Joshi MK, Rathi V. Comparison of diagnostic peritoneal lavage and focused assessment by sonography in trauma as an adjunct to primary survey in torso trauma: a prospective randomized clinical trial. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg* 2014;20:101–6.
- Velmahos GC, Toutouzas KG, Radin R, Chan L, Demetriades D. Non-operative treatment of blunt injury to solid abdominal organs: a prospective study. *Arch Surg* 2003;138:844–51.
- Yanar H, Ertekin C, Taviloglu K, Kabay B, Bakkaloglu H, Guloglu R. Nonoperative treatment of multiple intra-abdominal solid organ injury after blunt abdominal trauma. *J Trauma* 2008;64:943–8.
- D'Errico E, Goffre B, Mazza D. Blunt abdominal trauma: current management. *Chir Ital* 2009;61:601–6.
- Asensio JA, Roldán G, Petrone P, Rojo E, Tillou A, Kuncir E, et al. Operative management and outcomes in 103 AAST-OIS grades IV and V complex hepatic injuries: trauma surgeons still need to operate, but angio-embolization helps. *J Trauma* 2003;54:647–54.
- Hagiwara A, Murata A, Matsuda T, Matsuda H, Shimazaki S. The efficacy and limitations of transarterial embolization for severe hepatic injury. *J Trauma* 2002;52:1091–6.
- Tai DK, Li WH, Lee KY, Cheng M, Lee KB, Tang LF, et al. Retroperitoneal pelvic packing in the management of hemodynamically unstable pelvic fractures: a level I trauma center experience. *J Trauma* 2011;71:79–86.
- Mitsuhide K, Junichi S, Atsushi N, Masakazu D, Shinobu H, Tomohisa E, et al. Computed tomographic scanning and selective laparoscopy in the diagnosis of blunt bowel injury: a prospective study. *J Trauma* 2005;58:696–703.
- Livingston DH, Tortella BJ, Blackwood J, Machiedo GW, Rush BF Jr. The role of laparoscopy in abdominal trauma. *J Trauma* 1992;33:471–5.
- Dongel I, Coskun A, Ozbay S, Bayram M, Atli B. Management of thoracic trauma in emergency service: Analysis of 1139 cases. *Pak J Med Sci* 2013;29:58–63.
- Alihodzic-Pasalic A, Grbic K, Pilav A, Hadzismailovic A, Grbic E. Initial treatment of isolated thoracic injuries. *Med Arch* 2013;67:107–10.
- Demetriades D, Karaiskakis M, Toutouzas K, Alo K, Velmahos G, Chan L. Pelvic fractures: epidemiology and predictors of associated abdominal injuries and outcomes. *J Am Coll Surg* 2002;195:1–10.
- Verbeek DO, Sugrue M, Balogh Z, Cass D, Civil I, Harris I, et al. Acute management of hemodynamically unstable pelvic trauma patients: time for a change? Multicenter review of recent practice. *World J Surg* 2008;32:1874–82.
- Cordts Filho Rde M, Parreira JG, Perlingeiro JA, Solda SC, Campos Td, Assef JC. Pelvic fractures as a marker of injury severity in trauma patients. *Rev Col Bras Cir* 2011;38:310–6.

26. Biffl WL, Smith WR, Moore EE, Gonzalez RJ, Morgan SJ, Hennessey T, et al. Evolution of a multidisciplinary clinical pathway for the management of unstable patients with pelvic fractures. *Ann Surg* 2001;233:843–50.
27. Osborn PM, Smith WR, Moore EE, Cothren CC, Morgan SJ, Williams AE, et al. Direct retroperitoneal pelvic packing versus pelvic angiography: A comparison of two management protocols for haemodynamically unstable pelvic fractures. *Injury* 2009;40:54–60.
28. Tötterman A, Madsen JE, Skaga NO, Røise O. Extraperitoneal pelvic packing: a salvage procedure to control massive traumatic pelvic hemorrhage. *J Trauma* 2007;62:843–52.
29. Bruijns SR, Guly HR, Bouamra O, Lecky F, Lee WA. The value of traditional vital signs, shock index, and age-based markers in predicting trauma mortality. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2013;74:1432–7.
30. İçer M, Güloğlu C, Orak M, Ustündağ M. Factors affecting mortality caused by falls from height. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg* 2013;19:529–35.
31. Sousa AN, Paiva JA, Fonseca SA, Raposo FJ, Loureiro AM, Valente LF, et al. Trauma scores in the management of politrauma patients: which one and what for?. [Article in Portuguese] *Acta Med Port* 2011;24:943–50. [Abstract]
32. Akhavan Akbari G, Mohammadian A. Comparison of the RTS and ISS scores on prediction of survival chances in multiple trauma patients. *Acta Chir Orthop Traumatol Cech* 2012;79:535–9.
33. Emircan S, Özgüç H, Akköse Aydın S, Özdemir F, Köksal O, Bulut M. Factors affecting mortality in patients with thorax trauma. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg* 2011;17:329–33.
34. Hussmann B, Taeger G, Lefering R, Waydhas C, Nast-Kolb D, Ruchholtz S, et al. Lethality and outcome in multiple injured patients after severe abdominal and pelvic trauma. Influence of preclinical volume replacement - an analysis of 604 patients from the trauma registry of the DGU. [Article in German] *Unfallchirurg* 2011;114:705–12. [Abstract]

ORJİNAL ÇALIŞMA - ÖZET

Künt travma sonucu yaralanan hastaların çok yönlü analizi ve mortaliteye etkili faktörler

Dr. Adnan Özpek,¹ Dr. Metin Yücel,¹ Dr. İbrahim Atak,¹ Dr. Gürhan Baş,¹ Dr. Orhan Alimoğlu²

¹Ümraniye Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Genel Cerrahi Kliniği, İstanbul

²Medeniyet Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Genel Cerrahi Anabilim Dalı, İstanbul

AMAÇ: Bu çalışmada künt travmaya bağlı yaralanma nedeniyle kliniğimize yatırarak tedavi ettiğimiz hastaların çok yönlü analizini ve mortaliteye etkili faktörleri belirlemeyi amaçladık.

GEREK VE YÖNTEM: Ocak 2009 ile Ocak 2013 tarihleri arasında, künt travmaya bağlı yaralanma nedeniyle kliniğimize yatırarak tedavi ettiğimiz 237 hasta geriye dönük olarak incelendi. Hastalar yaş, cinsiyet, travmanın şekli, yaralanma bölgeleri, Yaralanma Şiddet Skoru (ISS), Revize Edilmiş Travma Skoru (RTS), FAST sonuçları, hemodinamik durum, transfüzyon gereksinimi, uygulanan tedavi yöntemi, tedavi sonuçları ve mortalite yönünden analiz edildi.

BULGULAR: Hastaların 187'si (%78.9) erkek, 50'si (%21.1) kadın, yaş ortalaması 36.9±16.9 (3–81 yıl) idi. Hastaların 131'inde (%55.3) torakal, 110'unda (%46.4) abdominal, 96'sında (%40.5) pelvis ve ekstremiteler, 34'ünde (%14.3) baş ve boyun, 26'sında (%11) maksillofasyal, 24'ünde (%10.1) ise cilt ve cilt altı yumuşak doku yaralanması mevcuttu. Hemodinamik instabilite nedeniyle 33, peritonit bulguları nedeniyle 12 hasta olmak üzere toplam 45 (%19) hasta ameliyat edildi. Hastaların 26'sında (%11) mortalite görüldü ve bunların 10'unda (%38.5) instabil pelvis kırığı bulunmaktaydı. Packing gereken hastalarda %75, packing gerekmeyenlerde %33.3; hemodinamisi instabil hastalarda %60.6, hemodinamisi stabil olanlarda %8.3 ve FAST (+) hastalarda %20.5, FAST (–) hastalarda %3.4 mortalite belirlendi (p<0.05). Hastaların tümünde ortalama ISS değeri 18.4, RTS değeri 7.30, transfüzyon gereksinimi 1.6 Ü. olarak hesaplanırken; ölen hastalarda ise aynı sırayla 44.6, 4.22 ve 7.8 Ü. olarak hesaplandı (p<0.05).

TARTIŞMA: Künt travmalar genellikle birden fazla anatomik bölgede yaralanmaya neden olmakta; torakal, abdominal ve pelvis bölge yaralanmaları sıklıkla birbirine eşlik etmektedir. Abdominal solid organ yaralanmalarının büyük kısmı nonoperatif olarak takip ve tedavi edilebilmektedir. Çalışma sonuçlarımız ISS, RTS, FAST sonucu, hemodinamik instabilite, packing ve transfüzyon gereksiniminin mortalite riskini belirlemede istatistiksel olarak değerli olduğunu göstermektedir.

Anahtar sözcükler: Künt travma; mortalite; multitravma; Revize Edilmiş Travma Skoru; transfüzyon; Yaralanma Şiddet Skoru.

Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg 2015;21(6):477–483 doi: 10.5505/tjtes.2015.43077